UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,940	03/26/2004	Alisa Ann Ivory	9191ML	1918
	7590 12/31/200 R & GAMBLE COMP.		EXAM	IINER
Global Legal Department - IP			DAVIS, RUTH A	
Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CINCINNATI,	ОН 45202		1651	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/810,940	IVORY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth A. Davis	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	)SS			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timil apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	<b>J.</b> nely filed  the mailing date of this comm  D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Ap</u>	oril 2009.					
· <u> </u>						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E.	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 20-37</u> is/are pending in the ap	plication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-2,20-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa			, ,			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority upder 35 LLS C & 110(a)	-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:		-(a) or (i).				
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori			age			
<del>_</del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) D Intomion Comes-	(PTO 412)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's petition for revival (granted on June 17, 2009), amendment and response filed on April 1, 2009 have been entered into the case. Claims 3 - 7, 9 - 19 are canceled; claims 20 - 37 are added; claims 1 - 2 and 20 - 37 are pending and have been considered on the merits. All arguments have been fully considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/810,940 Page 3

Art Unit: 1651

3. Claims 1-7 and 9-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Barkalow in view of Yatka et al. (US 5458892 A) and Leung et al. (US 6596298).

Barkalow teaches an edible film composition comprising bulk filler agents, film forming agents, plasticizing agents, flavoring agents and surfactants (0006,0018) wherein the bulk fillers may be wood cellulose (0048), the film forming agents are hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose (0043). The composition may additionally contain vegetable oils (0065). The bulking agent and film forming agents are present at 10 - 90% (0007,0009), the film forming agents are present at 10 - 90% (0047), the plasticizing agents are present at 0 - 20% (0049), the flavoring is present at 1 - 20% (0062), a surfactant may be present (0018,0060,claim 13), and the composition rapidly dissolves (0032).

Barkalow does not teach the composition wherein the bulk filler agent is indigestible dextrin, purified wood cellulose or psyllium with the claimed length. However, at the time of the claimed invention, indigestible dextrin was a known and used bulk filler agent in the art. In support, Yatka teaches a bulking agent that is indigestible dextrin with the claimed length (col.1 line 44-57, col.3). In addition, Yatka teaches that the indigestible dextrin can be dried with flavors to encapsulate the flavor (col.2 line 25-36, col.6 line 13-23). At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary in the art to use the indigestible dextrin of Yatka as the bulk filling agent of Barkalow, since it was a recognized bulk filler in the art. Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by Yatka to substitute indigestible dextrin as the bulk filler in the composition with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the functional edible film of Barkalow.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,940 Page 4

Art Unit: 1651

Barkalow does not teach the film forming agent is polyvinyl pyrrolidone, wherein a tooth whitening agent is included. However, at the time of the claimed invention, polyvinyl pyrrolidone was a known and used film forming agent in the art. In support, Leung teaches film forming agents for edible films to include polyvinyl pyrrolidone (col4 line 64-67), xanthan gum, starches, dextrin, flavoring agents, surfactants (col.5). Barkalow (abstract) and Leung (col.12) additionally teaches pharmaceutically active ingredients may be included in the films. At the time of the claimed invention, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to include a tooth whitening agent as they were known pharmaceutical agents used in the art in edible films.

Moreover, at the time of the claimed invention, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated by the cited references to combine the instant ingredients together with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the functional edible film of Barkalow.

The references do not teach the composition wherein the exact amounts of each component are present. However as evidenced by the ranges and specific teachings (0068) of Barkalow, it would have been well within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the exact amount of each component as a matter of routine experimentation. Moreover, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to optimize the various amounts of each component with a reasonable expectation for successfully obtaining the effective edible film of Barkalow.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant argues that the references do not teach each of the claimed components.

However in light of the new rejection, the argument is not persuasive.

## Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruth A. Davis whose telephone number is 571-272-0915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00 -3:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/810,940 Page 6

Art Unit: 1651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on 571-272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Ruth A. Davis/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1651

December 22, 2009